

On Zero Power Valued Generalized Homoderivation in Semi Prime Rings

Rekha Rani*
Shivani Parashar **

Abstract

The Purpose of this paper is to investigate commutativity of semi prime rings in case of generalized homoderivation of semi prime rings with Lie ideal.

Keywords:

Lie ideal,
generalized homoderivation,
commutator,
semi prime ring

Copyright © 2023 International Journals of Multidisciplinary Research
Academy. All rights reserved.

Author correspondence:

Shivani Parashar,
Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics
S.V. College Aligarh
Email: shivani8parashar@gmail.com

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R denotes an associative ring with centre $Z(R)$. For any $x, y \in R$, the notation $[x, y]$ denotes commutator $xy - yx$ and $x \circ y$ denotes an anti-commutator $xy + yx$. Recall that a ring R is prime if for any $x, y \in R$, $xRy = \{0\}$ implies that $x = 0$ or $y = 0$ and R is semi prime if $xRx = \{0\}$ implies that $x = 0$. An additive subgroup U of R is said to be a Lie ideal of R if $[u, r] \in U$, for all $u \in U$ and $r \in R$. An additive mapping $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called a derivation if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$, for all $x, y \in R$. In [4.], El-Soufi introduced the concept of homoderivation as follows: An additive mapping $h : R \rightarrow R$ is called a homoderivation if $h(xy) = h(x)h(y) + h(x)y + xh(y)$, for all $x, y \in R$. An example of such mapping is to let $h(x) = F(x) + x$, for all $x \in R$. where F is an endomorphism on R . Thus, it is clear that a homoderivation h is also a derivation if $h(x)h(y) = 0$, for all $x, y \in R$.

Motivated by the definition of a homoderivation, the notion of generalized homoderivation was extended as follows : An additive mapping $F : R \rightarrow R$ is called a right generalized homoderivation derivation if there exists a homoderivation $d : R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(xy) = F(x)h(y) + F(x)y + xh(y)$, for all $x, y \in R$ and F is called a left generalized homoderivation if there exists a

* Professor, Department of Mathematics, S.V.College, Aligarh,U.P., INDIA

** Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, S.V.College, Aligarh,U.P., INDIA

homoderivation $h : R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(xy) = h(x)F(y) + h(x)y + xF(y)$, for all $x, y \in R$. F is said to be a generalized homoderivation associated with homoderivation h if it is both a left and a right generalized homoderivation associated with homoderivation h . If $S \subseteq R$, then a mapping $F: R \rightarrow R$ preserves S if $F(S) \subseteq S$. A mapping $F : R \rightarrow R$ is zero - power valued on S if F preserves S and for each $x \in S$, there exist a positive integer $n(x) > 1$ such that $F^{n(x)}(x) = 0$.

In [3.], Daif and Bell proved that if R is a semiprime ring U a nonzero ideal of R and d a derivation of R such that $d([x, y]) = [x, y]$, for all $x, y \in U$, then $U \in Z$. In 2007, Ashraf et al [2] prove that a prime ring R must be commutative if R satisfies any one of the following conditions

(i) $F(xy) = xy$, (ii) $F(x)F(y) = xy$, where F is a generalized derivation of R and I is a nonzero two sided ideal of R . Recently in 2023, Boua & Sogutcu [9] investigate the commutative of semiprime rings if R satisfies the following conditions : (i) $F[u, v] = \pm[u, v]$ (ii) $F[u, v] = uov$, for all $u, v \in I$. In this paper, we prove these results for generalized homoderivation with Lie ideals in semi prime rings.

1. Preliminaries

We shall use frequently the following basic commutator identities:

$$\begin{aligned} [a, bc] &= b[a, c] + [a, b]c, \\ [ab, c] &= [a, c]b + a[b, c], \\ a \circ (bc) &= (a \circ b)c - b[a, c] = b(a \circ c) + [a, b]c, \\ (ab) \circ c &= a(b \circ c) - [a, c]b = (a \circ c)b + a[b, c] \end{aligned}$$

We began with the following lemma which is required to prove our results:

Lemma 2.1 [8, Corollary 2.1]. Let R be a 2-torsion free semi- prime ring, U a noncentral Lie ideal of R and $a, b \in U$.

- (i) If $aUa = \{0\}$, then $a = 0$.
- (ii) If $aU = \{0\}$, (or $Ua = \{0\}$), then $a = 0$.

2. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let R be a semi-prime ring with $CharR \neq 2$ and U a nonzero Lie ideal of R . Suppose that R admits a right generalized homoderivation F associated with a homoderivation h of R such that $h(U) \subseteq U$. If $F([u, v]) = (v \circ u)$, for all $u, v \in U$, then h is commuting map on U .

Proof. we have

$$F([u, v]) = (v \circ u), \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \quad (3.1)$$

Replacing v by $2vu$ in equations (3.1), we obtain that

$$F([u, vu]) = (vu \circ u), \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F([u, v]u) = (v \circ u)u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

i.e.,

$$F[u, v]h(u) + F[u, v]u + [u, v]h(u) = (v \circ u)u, \text{ for all } u, v, \in U.$$

$$F[u, v](h(u) + u) + [u, v]h(u) = (v \circ u)u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Since h is zero-power valued on U , there exists an integer $n(x) > 1$ such that $h^{n(x)}(x) = 0$, for all $x \in U$.

Replacing u by $u - h(u) + h^2(u) + \dots + (-1)^{n(u)-1}h^{n(u)-1}(u)$ in the above equation, we get

$$F[u, v]u + [u, v]h(u) = (v \circ u)u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Using the given hypothesis, the above relation yields that

$$[u, v]h(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \quad (3.2)$$

Again, replacing v by $2vw$ in equation (3.2) and using the fact that $\text{Char}R \neq 2$, we get

$$[u, vw]h(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in U.$$

which gives that $(v[u, w] + [u, v]w)h(u) = 0$, for all $u, v, w \in U$, i.e., $v[u, v]h(u) + [u, v]wh(u) = 0$, for all $u, v, w \in U$. Using the equation (3.2), the above relation yields that $[u, v]wh(u) = 0$, for all $u, v, w \in U$.

Now replace v by $h(u)$, we get

$$[u, h(u)]wh(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, w \in U. \quad (3.3)$$

Right multiplication of equation (3.3) by u , we get

$$[u, h(u)]wh(u)u = 0, \text{ for all } u, w \in U. \quad (3.4)$$

Replacing w by $2wu$ in equation (3.3) and using the fact that $\text{Char}R \neq 2$, we get

$$[u, h(u)]wu h(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, w \in U. \quad (3.5)$$

Now Subtracting equation (3.4) from equation (3.5), we arrived that

$$[u, h(u)]wuh(u) - [u, h(u)]wh(u)u = 0, \text{ for all } u, w \in U.$$

$$[u, h(u)]w(uh(u)u - h(u)u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, w \in U.$$

$$[u, h(u)]w[u, h(u)] = 0, \text{ for all } u, w \in U.$$

$$[u, h(u)]U[u, h(u)] = 0, \text{ for all } u, \in U.$$

Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $[u, h(u)] = 0$, for all $u \in U$. Hence h is commuting map on U .

Theorem 3.2. Let R be a semi-prime ring with $\text{Char} R \neq 2$ and U a nonzero Lie ideal of R . Suppose that R admits a right generalized homoderivation F associated with a homoderivation h of R such that $h(U) \subseteq U$. If $F([u, v]) = -(v \circ u)$, for all $u, v \in U$, then h is commuting map on U .

Proof. we have,

$$F([u, v]) = -(v \circ u), \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \quad (3.6)$$

Replacing v by $2vu$ in equations (3.6) and using the fact that $\text{Char}R \neq 2$, we obtain that

$$F([u, vu]) = -(vu \circ u), \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F([u, v]u) = -(v \circ u)u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

i.e.

$$F [u, v]h(u) + F ([u, v])u + [u, v]h(u) = -(v \circ u)u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F [u, v] (h(u) + u) + [u, v]h(u) = -(v \circ u)u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Since h is zero-power valued on U , there exists an integer $n(x) > 1$ such that $h^{n(x)}(x)=0$, for all $x \in U$.

Replacing u by $u-h(u) + h^2(u) + \dots + (-1)^{n(u)-1}h^{n(u)-1}(u)$ in the above equation, we get

$$F[u, v]u + [u, v]h(u) = -(v \circ u)u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Using the equation (3.6), the above relation yields that

$$[u, v]h(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \quad (3.7)$$

Proceeding in the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 3.1., we get the required result.

Theorem 3.3. Let R be a semi-prime ring with $\text{Char } R \neq 2$ and U a nonzero Lie ideal of R . Suppose that R admits a right generalized homoderivation F associated with a homoderivation h of R such that $h(U) \subseteq U$. If $F([u, v]) = [v, u]$, for all $u, v \in U$, then h is commuting map on U .

Proof. we have,

$$F ([u, v]) = [v, u], \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \quad (3.8)$$

Replacing v by $2vu$ in equations (3.8) and using the fact that $\text{Char } R \neq 2$, we obtain that

$$F ([u, vu]) = [vu, u], \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F ([u, v]u) = [v, u]u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

i.e.,

$$F [u, v]h(u) + F [u, v]u + [u, v]h(u) = [v, u]u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F [u, v](h(u) + u) + [u, v]h(u) = [v, u]u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Since h is zero-power valued on U , there exists an integer $n(x) > 1$ such that $h^{n(x)}(x) = 0$, for all $x \in U$.

Replacing u by $u-h(u) + h^2(u) + \dots + (-1)^{n(u)-1}h^{n(u)-1}(u)$ in the above equation, we get

$$F [u, v]u + [u, v]h(u) = [v, u]u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F [u, v]u + [u, v]h(u) = [v, u]u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Using the given hypothesis, the above relation yields that

$$[u, v]h(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \quad (3.9)$$

Proceeding in the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 3.1., we obtain the required result.

Theorem 3.4. Let R be a semi-prime ring with $\text{Char } R \neq 2$ and U a nonzero Lie ideal of R . Suppose that R admits a right generalized homoderivation F associated with a homoderivation h of R such that $h(U) \subseteq U$. If $F([u, v]) = -[v, u]$, for all $u, v \in U$, then h is commuting map on U .

Proof. we have,

$$F ([u, v]) = -[v, u], \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \quad (3.10)$$

Replacing v by $2vu$ in equations (3.10) and using the fact that $\text{Char } R \neq 2$, we obtain that

$$F ([u, vu]) = -[vu, u], \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F ([u, v]u) = -[v, u]u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

i.e.,

$$F [u, v]h(u) + F [u, v]u + [u, v]h(u) = - [v, u]u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F [u, v](h(u) + u) + [u, v]h(u) = -[v, u]u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Since h is zero-power valued on U , there exists an integer $n(x) > 1$ such that $h^{n(x)}(x) = 0$, for all $x \in U$. Replacing u by $u - h(u) + h^2(u) + \dots + (-1)^{n(u)-1}h^{n(u)-1}(u)$ in the above equation, we get

$$F [u, v]u + [u, v]h(u) = -[v, u]u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Using the given hypothesis, the above relation yields that

$$[u, v]h(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \quad (3.11)$$

Proceeding in the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain the required result.

Theorem 3.5. Let R be a semi-prime ring with $\text{Char } R \neq 2$ and U a nonzero Lie ideal of R . Suppose that R admits a right generalized homoderivation F associated with a homoderivation h of R such that $h(U) \subseteq U$. If $F(u \circ v) = [v, u]$, for all $u, v \in U$, then h is commuting map on U .

Proof. we have,

$$F(u \circ v) = [v, u], \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \quad (3.12)$$

Replacing v by $2vu$ in equations (3.12) and using the fact that $\text{Char } R \neq 2$, we obtain that

$$F(u \circ vu) = [vu, u], \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F((u \circ v)u) = [v, u]u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

i.e.,

$$F(u \circ v)h(u) + F(u \circ v)u + (u \circ v)h(u) = [v, u]u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F(u \circ v)(h(u) + u) + (u \circ v)h(u) = [v, u]u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Since h is zero-power valued on U , there exists an integer $n(x) > 1$ such that $h^{n(x)}(x) = 0$, for all $x \in U$. Replacing u by $u - h(u) + h^2(u) + \dots + (-1)^{n(u)-1}h^{n(u)-1}(u)$ in the above equation, we get

$$F((u \circ v)u) + (u \circ v)h(u) = [v, u]u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Using the equation (3.12), the above relation yields that

$$(u \circ v)h(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \quad (3.13)$$

Again, replacing v by $2wv$ in equation (3.13) and using the fact that $\text{Char } R \neq 2$, we get $(u \circ wv)h(u) = 0$, which gives that $(w(u \circ v) + [u, w]v)h(u) = 0$, for all $u, v, w \in U$, i.e., $w(u \circ v)h(u) + [u, w]vh(u) = 0$, for all $u, v, w \in U$. Using the equation (3.13), the above relation yields that $[u, w]vh(u) = 0$, for all $u, v, w \in U$.

Proceeding in the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 3.1., we obtain the required result.

Theorem 3.6. Let R be a semi-prime ring with $\text{Char } R \neq 2$ and U a nonzero Lie ideal of R . Suppose that R admits a right generalized homoderivation F associated with a homoderivation h of R such that $h(U) \subseteq U$. If $F(u \circ v) = -[v, u]$, for all $u, v \in U$, then h is commuting map on U .

Proof. we have,

$$F(u \circ v) = -[v, u], \text{ for all } u, v, \in U. \quad (3.14)$$

Replacing v by $2vu$ in equations (3.14), we obtain that

$$F(u \circ vu) = -[vu, u], \quad \text{for all } u, v \in U.$$

i.e.,

$$F((u \circ v)u) = -[v, u]u, \quad \text{for all } u, v \in U.$$

Or,

$$F(u \circ v)h(u) + F(u \circ v)u + (u \circ v)h(u) = -[v, u]u, \quad \text{for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F(u \circ v)(h(u) + u) + (u \circ v)h(u) = -([v, u]u), \quad \text{for all } u, v \in U.$$

Since h is zero-power valued on U , there exists an integer $n(x) > 1$ such that $h^{n(x)}(x) = 0$, for all $x \in U$.

Replacing u by $u - h(u) + h^2(u) + \dots + (-1)^{n(u-1)}h^{n(u)-1}(u)$ in the above equation, we get

$$F((u \circ v)u) + (u \circ v)h(u) = -[v, u]u, \quad \text{for all } u, v \in U.$$

Using the equation (3.14), the above relation yields that

$$(u \circ v)h(u) = 0, \quad \text{for all } u, v \in U. \quad (3.15)$$

Again, replacing v by $2wv$ in equation (3.15) and using the fact that $\text{Char } R \neq 2$, we get $(u \circ wv)h(u) = 0$, which gives that $(w(u \circ v) + [u, w]v)h(u) = 0$, for all $u, v, w \in U$, i.e., $w(u \circ v)h(u) + [u, w]vh(u) = 0$, for all $u, v, w \in U$. Using the equation (3.15), the above relation yields that $[u, w]vh(u) = 0$, for all $u, v, w \in U$. Now the proof runs as Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.7. Let R be a semi-prime ring with $\text{Char } R \neq 2$ and U a nonzero Lie ideal of R . Suppose that R admits a right generalized homoderivation F associated with a homoderivation h of R such that $h(U) \subseteq U$. If $F([u, v]) + h([u, v]) + [u, v] = 0$, for all $u, v \in U$, then h is commuting map on U .

Proof. we have,

$$F([u, v]) + h([u, v]) + [u, v] = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \quad (3.16)$$

Replacing v by $2vu$ in equations (3.16) and using the fact that $\text{Char } R \neq 2$, we obtain that

$$F([u, vu]) + h([u, vu]) + [u, vu] = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

i.e.,

$$F([u, v]u) + h([u, v]u) + [u, v]u = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F([u, v])h(u) + F([u, v]u) + [u, v]h(u) + h[u, v]h(u) + h([u, v])u + [u, v]h(u) + [u, v]u = 0,$$

$$F([u, v])(h(u) + u) + [u, v]h(u) + h[u, v](h(u) + u) + [u, v]h(u) + [u, v]u = 0,$$

Since h is zero-power valued on U , there exists an integer $n(x) > 1$ such that $h^{n(x)}(x) = 0$, for all $x \in U$.

Replacing u by $u - h(u) + h^2(u) + \dots + (-1)^{n(u-1)}h^{n(u)-1}(u)$ in the above equation, we get

$$F([u, v]u) + [u, v]h(u) + h([u, v]u) + [u, v]h(u) + [u, v]u = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F([u, v])u + h([u, v])u + 2[u, v]h(u) + [u, v]u = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Using the given hypothesis, the above relation yields that $2[u, v]h(u) = 0$, for all $u, v \in U$. Since R is of $\text{Char}R \neq 2$, we have $[u, v]h(u) = 0$, for all $u, v \in U$.

Now the proof runs as the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get the required result.

Theorem 3.8. Let R be a semi-prime ring with $\text{Char}R \neq 2$ and U a nonzero Lie ideal of R . Suppose that R admits a right generalized homoderivation F associated with a homoderivation h of R such that $h(U) \subseteq U$. If $F([u, v]) + h([u, v]) + (u \circ v) = 0$, for all $u, v \in U$, then h is commuting map on U .

Proof. we have

$$F([u, v]) + h([u, v]) + (u \circ v) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \quad (3.17)$$

Replacing v by $2vu$ in equations (3.17) and using the fact that $\text{Char}R \neq 2$, we obtain that

$$F([u, vu]) + h([u, vu]) + (u \circ vu) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F([u, v])u + h([u, v])u + (u \circ v)u = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F([u, v])h(u) + F([u, v])u + [u, v]h(u) + h([u, v])h(u) + h([u, v])u + [u, v]h(u) + (u \circ v)u = 0,$$

$$F[u, v](h(u) + u) + [u, v]h(u) + h([u, v])(h(u) + u) + [u, v]h(u) + (u \circ v)u = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Since h is zero-power valued on U , there exists an integer $n(x) > 1$ such that $h^{n(x)}(x) = 0$, for all $x \in U$. Replacing u by $u - h(u) + h^2(u) + \dots + (-1)^{n(u)-1}h^{n(u)-1}(u)$ in the above equation, we get

$$F([u, v]u) + [u, v]h(u) + h([u, v]u) + [u, v]h(u) + (u \circ v)u = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F([u, v]u) + h([u, v]u) + 2[u, v]h(u) + (u \circ v)u = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Using the given hypothesis, the above relation yields that $2[u, v]h(u) = 0$, for all $u, v \in U$. Since R is semi prime ring with $\text{Char}R \neq 2$,

$$[u, v]h(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \quad (3.18)$$

Proceeding in the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain the required result.

Theorem 3.9. Let R be a free semi-prime ring with $\text{Char}R \neq 2$ and U a nonzero Lie ideal of R . Suppose that R admits a right generalized homoderivation F associated with a homoderivation h of R such that $h(U) \subseteq U$. If $F(u \circ v) + h(u \circ v) + (u \circ v) = 0$, for all $u, v \in U$, then h is commuting map on U .

Proof. we have,

$$F(u \circ v) + h(u \circ v) + (u \circ v) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \quad (3.19)$$

Replacing v by $2vu$ in equations (3.19) and using the fact that $\text{Char}R \neq 2$, we obtain that

$$F(u \circ vu) + h(u \circ vu) + (u \circ vu) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F((u \circ v)u - v[u, u]) + h((u \circ v)u - v[u, u]) + ((u \circ v)u - v[u, u]) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

i.e.

$$F((u \circ v)u) + h((u \circ v)u) + (u \circ v)u = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

$$F(uov)h(u) + F(uov)u + (uov)h(u) + h(uov)h(u) + h(uov)u + (uov)h(u) + (uov)u = 0,$$

$$F(uov)(h(u) + u) + (uov)h(u) + h(uov)(h(u) + u) + (uov)h(u) + (uov)u = 0,$$

Since h is zero-power valued on U , there exists an integer $n(x) > 1$ such that $h^{n(x)}(x) = 0$, for all $x \in U$.

Replacing u by $u - h(u) + h^2(u) + \dots + (-1)^{n(u)-1}h^{n(u)-1}(u)$ in the above equation, we get

$$F(u \circ v)u + (u \circ v)h(u) + h(u \circ v)u + (u \circ v)h(u) + (u \circ v)u = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Using the given hypothesis, the above relation yields that $2[u \circ v]h(u) = 0$, for all $u, v \in U$. Since \mathbf{R} is a semi prime ring with $\text{Char}R \neq 2$,

$$(u \circ v)h(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \quad (3.20)$$

Again, replacing v by $2wv$ in equation (3.20) and using the fact that $\text{Char}R \neq 2$, we get $(uov)h(u) = 0$, for all $u, v \in U$ which gives that $(w(u \circ v) + [u, w]v)h(u) = 0$, for all $u, v, w \in U$, i.e., $w(u \circ v)h(u) + [u, w]vh(u) = 0$, for all $u, v, w \in U$. Using equation (3.20), the above relation yields that $[u, w]vh(u) = 0$, for all $u, v, w \in U$. Now follow the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get the required result.

References

- [1] M. Ashraf and N. Rehman, "On derivation and commutativity in prime rings", *East-west J. Math.* 3(1), 87-91, (2001).
- [2] M. Ashraf, A. Ali and S. Ali, "Some commutativity theorems for rings with generalized derivations", *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, 31(3), 415-421, (2007).
- [3] M. N. Daif and H. E. Bell, "Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings," *IJMMS*, 15 (1), 205-206, (1992).
- [4] El-Soufi, M. M., "Rings with some kinds of mappings," *M.Sc.Thesis, Cairo University, Branch of Fayoum, Cairo, Egypt*, (2000).
- [5] N. Rehman, M. Mozumder, R. Abbasi., "Homoderivations on ideals of prime and semiprime rings", *The Aligarh Bulletin of Mathematics*, 38(1-2), 77-87, (2019).
- [6] E. K. Sogutcu, and O. Golbasi, "Lie ideals of semiprime rings with generalized derivations", *Adiyaman University Journal of Science*, 8(1), 1-12, (2018).
- [7] M. N. Daif, and H. E. Bell, "On commutativity and strong commutativity- preserving maps", *Canad. Math. Bull.* 37(4), 443-447, (1994).
- [8] M. Hongan, N. Rehman, R. Mohammed and Al. Omary, "Lie Ideals and Jordan Triple derivations in Rings." *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova.* 125, 147-156, (2011).
- [9] A. Boua and E.K. Sogutcu, " Semiprime Rings with Generalized Homoderivations." *Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat.* (41) (2023).