International Journal of Engineering, Science and Mathematics

Vol. 7Issue 1, January 2018,

ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.765

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR FOUR MAPPINGS IN FUZZY METRIC SPACE

B.VIJAYABASKER REDDY* V.SRINIVAS**

Abstract (10pt)

The purpose of this paper is to prove a common fixed point theorem in a fuzzy metric space using the concept of weakly compatible mappings and CLR property.

Keywords:

Fixed point; weakly

compatible mappings;

CLR property. AMS (2000) Mathematics Classification: 54H25, 47H10

> Copyright © 2018 International Journals of Multidisciplinary Research Academy. All rights reserved.

Author correspondence:

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology, Ghatkesar, Hyderabad, India-501 301.

1. Introduction

The concept of the fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [1]. Kramosil and Michelek have introduced the concept of Fuzzy metric space. Since then many authors proved fixed point theorem using Fuzzy metric space. Grabic [4] obtained the Banach contraction principle in fuzzy version. George and Veeramani [3] have shown that every metric induces a fuzzy metric. Recently Sintunavarat and kuman initiated an interesting property Common Limit in the Range property (CLR property). Aim of this paper to prove a common fixed point for four self maps with the concept of weakly compatible maps and CLR property.

2. Definitions and Preliminaries

Definition 2.1: A binary operation $*:[0,1]\times[0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called continuous t-norm if * satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) * is commutative and associative
- (ii) * is continuous
- (iii) a*1=a for all $a \in [0,1]$

^{**} Department of Mathematics, University college of Science Saifabad, Osmania University Hyderabad, India. Email: srinivasmaths4141@gmail.com

(iv) $a^*b \le c^*d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$

Definition 2.2: A 3-tuple (X, M,*) is said to be fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set,* is continuous t- norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0,\infty)$ satisfying the following conditions for all x,y,z \in X, s,t>0

 $\begin{array}{ll} (FM-1) & M(x,y,0)=0 \\ (FM-2) & M(x,y,t)=1 \ \ for \ all \ t>0 \ \ if \ and \ only \ if \ x=y \\ (FM-3) & M(x,y,t)=M(y,x,t) \\ (FM-4) & M(x,y,t) \ ^* & M(y,z,s) \leq M(x,z,t+s) \\ (FM-5) & M(x,y,.) : [0,\infty) \rightarrow [0,1] \ is \ left \ continuous \\ \end{array}$

Example 2.3 (Induced fuzzy metric space): Let (X,d) be a metric space defined a*b=min{a,b} for all x,y $\in X$ and t>0,

Then (X, M, *) is a fuzzy metric space. We call this fuzzy metric *M* induced by metric *d* the standard fuzzy metric. From the above example every metric induces a fuzzy metric but there exist no metric on X satisfying (a).

Definition 2.4: Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space then a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$, if

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1 \quad for \ all \ t > 0.$

Definition 2.5: A sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{x \to \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$ for all t > 0 and p > 0.

Definition 2.6: A fuzzy metric space (X, M,*) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent to a point in X.

Lemma 2.7 : For all $x,y \in X$, M(x,y,.) is non decreasing. Lemma 2.8: let (X,M,*) be a fuzzy metric space if there exists $k \in (0,1)$ such that $M(x,y,kt) \ge M(x,y,t)$ then x=y.

Proposition 2.9: In the fuzzy metric space (X, M,*) if $a*a \ge a$ for all $a \in [0,1]$ then $a*b = min\{a,b\}$

Definition 2.10: Two self maps S and T of a fuzzy metric space (X,M,*) are said to be compatible mappings if $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(STx_n,TSx_n,t)=1$, whenever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Definition 2.11: Two self maps S and T of a fuzzy metric space (X,M,*) are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence point. i.e. if Su=Tu for some u \in X then STu=TSu.

Definition 2.12:Let A and S be two self maps defined on a metric space (X,d).We say that the mappings A and S satisfy CLR_A property if there exists a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle \in X$ such that $\lim A$

$$x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} S x_n = A x$$
.

3. Main Result

3.1 Theorem: Let (X, M, *) be a Fuzzy metric space with $a*b=min\{a,b\}$ and let A, B, S and T are self maps of X satisfying the following condition conditions $3.1.1 A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$

3.1.2 the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible

3.1.3 the pairs (A,S) or (B,T) satisfy CLR-property

3.1.4
$$[M(Ax, By, kt)]^2 * M(Ax, By, kt)M(Ty, Sx, kt)$$

$$\ge \{k_1 [M(By, Sx, 2kt) * M(Ax, Ty, 2kt)]$$

$$+ k_2 [M(Ax, Sx, kt) * M(By, Ty, kt)] M(Ty, Sx, t)$$

where for all x,y in X and k_1, k_2 \ge 0, k_1+k_2 \ge 1

then the mappings A, B,S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof :

Assume that the pairs (A,S) satisfy CLR_A -property so there exists a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle \in X$ such that $\lim A x_n = \lim S x_n = A x$ Since the condition A(X) \subseteq T(X) implies there exists a sequence $\langle y_n \rangle \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} A x_n =$ $\lim T y_n = A x$ We show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} B y_n = A x$ Put $x = x_n$ and $y = y_n$ in 3.1.4 $\left[M(Ax_{n}, By_{n}, kt)\right]^{2} * M(Ax_{n}, By_{n}, kt)M(Ty_{n}, Sx_{n}, kt) \ge \left\{k_{1}\left[M(By_{n}, Sx_{n}, 2kt) * M(Ax_{n}, Ty_{n}, 2kt)\right]\right\}$ $+k_2[M(Ax_n, Sx_n, kt) * M(By_n, Ty_n, kt)] M(Ty_n, Sx_n, t)$ $\left[M(Ax, By_n, kt)\right]^2 * M(Ax, By_n, kt)M(Ax, Ax, kt) \ge \{k_1 \left[M(By_n, Ax, 2kt) * M(Ax, Ax, 2kt)\right]^2$ + $k_2[M(Ax, Ax, kt)^*M(By_n, Ax, kt)]$ }M(Ax, Ax, t) $[M(Ax, By_n, kt)]^2 \ge \{k_1[M(By_n, Ax, 2kt)] + k_2[M(By_n, Ax, kt)]\}$ $\left[M(Ax, By_n, kt)\right] \ge \{k_1 + k_2\}$ $[M(Ax, By_n, kt)] \ge 1$ implies $\lim B y_n = A x$ now we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} A x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} S x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} T y_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} B y_n = A x$ Assume that the condition $A(X) \subset T(X)$ implies there exists $v \in X$ such that Ax=Tv we claim that Bv=Tv Put $x = x_n$ and y = v in 3.1.4

$$\begin{split} \left[M(Ax_n, Bv, kt) \right]^2 * M(Ax_n, Bv, kt) M(Tv, Sx_n, kt) &\geq \{k_1 \left[M(Bv, Sx_n, 2kt) * M(Ax_n, Tv, 2kt) \right] \\ &+ k_2 \left[M(Ax_n, Sx_n, kt) * M(Bv, Tv, kt) \right] \} M(Tv, Sx_n, t) \\ \left[M(Ax, Bv, kt) \right]^2 * M(Ax, Bv, kt) M(Tv, Ax, kt) &\geq \{k_1 \left[M(Bv, Ax, 2kt) * M(Ax, Tv, 2kt) \right] \\ &+ k_2 \left[M(Ax, Ax, kt) * M(Bv, Tv, kt) \right] \} M(Tv, Ax, t) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} M(Tv, Bv, kt) \end{bmatrix}^2 * M(Tv, Bv, kt) M(Tv, Tv, kt) \ge \{k_1 \begin{bmatrix} M(Bv, Tv, 2kt) * M(Tv, Tv, 2kt) \end{bmatrix} \\ + k_2 \begin{bmatrix} M(Tv, Tv, kt) * M(Bv, Tv, kt) \end{bmatrix} \} M(Tv, Tv, t) \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(Tv, Bv, kt) \end{bmatrix}^2 \ge \{k_1 \begin{bmatrix} M(Bv, Tv, 2kt) \end{bmatrix} + k_2 \begin{bmatrix} M(Bv, Tv, kt) \end{bmatrix} \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(Tv, Bv, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \{k_1 + k_2\} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(Tv, Bv, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This implies Bv=Tv Let Ax=w then Bv=Tv=Ax=w

Again since the pair (B,T) is weakly compatible and Bv=Tv=z implies BTv=TBv or Bw=Tw From the condition B(X) \subseteq S(X) implies there exists u \in X such that Su=Bv=w To prove Au=w Put x=u and y=v in 3.1.4

$$\begin{split} \left[M(Au, Bv, kt) \right]^2 * M(Au, Bv, kt) M(Tv, Su, kt) &\geq \{k_1 \left[M(Bv, Su, 2kt) * M(Au, Tv, 2kt) \right] \\ &+ k_2 \left[M(Au, Su, kt) * M(Bv, Tv, kt) \right] \} M(Tv, Su, t) \\ \left[M(Au, w, kt) \right]^2 * M(Au, w, kt) M(w, w, kt) &\geq \{k_1 \left[M(w, w, 2kt) * M(Au, w, 2kt) \right] \\ &+ k_2 \left[M(Au, w, kt) * M(w, w, kt) \right] \} M(w, w, t) \\ \left[M(Au, w, kt) \right]^2 &\geq \{k_1 \left[M(Au, w, 2kt) \right] + k_2 \left[M(Au, w, kt) \right] \} \\ \left[M(Au, w, kt) \right]^2 &\geq \{k_1 + k_2 \} \\ \left[M(Au, w, kt) \right] &\geq 1 \end{split}$$

Implies Au=w gives Au=Su=w Since the pair (A, S) is weakly compatible and Au=Su=w implies ASu=SAu or Aw=Sw. We show that Aw=w Put x=w and y=v in 3.1.4

$$\begin{split} \left[M(Aw, Bv, kt) \right]^2 * M(Aw, Bv, kt) M(Tv, Sw, kt) \ge \{k_1 \left[M(Bv, Sw, 2kt) * M(Aw, Tv, 2kt) \right] \\ &+ k_2 \left[M(Aw, Sw, kt) * M(Bv, Tv, kt) \right] \} M(Tv, Sw, t) \\ \left[M(Aw, w, kt) \right]^2 * M(Aw, w, kt) M(w, Aw, kt) \ge \{k_1 \left[M(w, Aw, 2kt) * M(Aw, w, 2kt) \right] \\ &+ k_2 \left[M(Aw, Aw, kt) * M(w, w, kt) \right] \} M(w, Aw, t) \\ \left[M(Aw, w, kt) \right]^2 \ge \{k_1 \left[M(Aw, w, 2kt) \right] + k_2 \} M(w, Aw, t) \\ \left[M(Aw, w, kt) \right] \ge \{k_1 \left[M(Aw, w, kt) \right] + k_2 \} \\ \left[M(Aw, w, kt) \right] \ge \frac{k_2}{1 - k_1} \\ \left[M(Aw, w, kt) \right] \ge 1 \\ \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \left[M(Au, Bw, kt) \right]^2 * M(Au, Bw, kt) M(Tw, Su, kt) &\geq \{k_1 \left[M(Bw, Su, 2kt) * M(Au, Tw, 2kt) \right] \\ &\quad + k_2 \left[M(Au, Su, kt) * M(Bw, Tw, kt) \right] \} M(Tw, Su, t) \\ \left[M(w, Bw, kt) \right]^2 * M(w, Bw, kt) M(Bw, w, kt) &\geq \{k_1 \left[M(Bw, w, 2kt) * M(w, Bw, 2kt) \right] \\ &\quad + k_2 \left[M(w, w, kt) * M(Bw, Bw, kt) \right] \} M(Bw, w, t) \\ \left[M(Bw, w, kt) \right]^2 &\geq \{k_1 \left[M(Bw, w, 2kt) \right] + k_2 \} M(Bw, w, t) \\ \left[M(Bw, w, kt) \right]^2 &\geq \{k_1 \left[M(Bw, w, kt) \right] + k_2 \} \\ \left[M(Bw, w, kt) \right]^2 &\geq \frac{k_2}{1 - k_1} \\ \left[M(Bw, w, kt) \right]^2 &\geq 1 \end{split}$$

Implies Bw=w Therefore Aw=Bw=Sw=Tw=w. Since Aw=Bw=Sw=Tw=w, we get w is a common fixed point of A,B,S and T.

Uniqueness: Let $z(\neq w)$ be the common fixed point of A, B, S and T then we get Az=Bz=Sz=Tz=z. Put x=w and y=z in 3.1.4

$$\begin{split} \left[M(Aw, Bz, kt) \right]^2 * M(Aw, Bz, kt) M(Tz, Sw, kt) &\geq \{k_1 \left[M(Bz, Sw, 2kt) * M(Aw, Tz, 2kt) \right] \\ &\quad + k_2 \left[M(Aw, Sw, kt) * M(Bz, Tz, kt) \right] \} M(Tz, Sw, t) \\ \left[M(w, z, kt) \right]^2 * M(w, z, kt) M(z, w, kt) &\geq \{k_1 \left[M(z, w, 2kt) * M(w, z, 2kt) \right] \\ &\quad + k_2 \left[M(w, w, kt) * M(z, z, kt) \right] \} M(z, w, t) \\ \left[M(w, z, kt) \right]^2 &\geq \{k_1 \left[M(z, w, 2kt) \right] + k_2 \} M(z, w, t) \\ \left[M(w, z, kt) \right] &\geq \{k_1 \left[M(z, w, 2kt) \right] + k_2 \} \\ \left[M(w, z, kt) \right] &\geq \frac{k_2}{1 - k_1} \\ \left[M(w, z, kt) \right] &\geq 1 \\ \end{split}$$

which gives Self maps A,B,S and T have unique common fixed point

3.2 Example: Let X= [0, 1), $M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}$ where d(x,y)=|x - y|

$$Ax = Bx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } 0 \le x < \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le x < 1 \end{cases} \quad Sx = Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6} & \text{if } 0 \le x < \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 - x & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le x < 1 \end{cases}$$

then A(X) = B(X) = $\begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$ while S(X) = T(X) = $\begin{cases} \frac{1}{6} \cup [0, \frac{1}{2}] \end{cases}$ so that the conditions

 $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ are satisfied.

Clearly the pairs (A,S) and (B,T) are weakly compatible as they commute at coincident point 1/2 .

Let a sequence
$$x_n = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\right)$$
 for $n \ge 1$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} A x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} S x_n = \frac{1}{2}$ and $A\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}$ which implies the pair (A,S) satisfies CLR_A property.

Also note that none of the mappings are continuous and the rational inequality holds for the values of $0 \le k_1 + k_2 \ge 1$, where $k_1, k_2 \ge 0$. Therefore all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Clearly 1/2 is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

4 Conclusion: Theorem 3.1 proved without using complete fuzzy metric space and without continuous mappings.

REFERENCES:

- Balasubramaniam P, Murali Sankar S & Pant R P," Common fixed point of four mappings in fuzzy metric space", J.Fuzzy Math. 10(2), 379-384,2002.
- [2]. Cho Y J, Pathak H K, Kang S M & Jung J S, "Common fixed point of compatible maps of type β on fuzzy metric spaces", *Fuzzy sets and Systems*, 93, 99-111, 1998.
- [3]. Dinesh Panth Kumar Subedi,"Some common fixed point theorems for four mappings in dislocated metric space", Advances in pure mathematics, No.6, 695-712, (2016).
- [4]. George A, Veeramani P, "On some results in fuzzy metric spaces", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64, 395-399,1994.
- [5]. Grabiec M," Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces", Fuzzy Sets and Systems ,27, 385-389,1998.
- [6]. Jungck G, "Compatible mappings and common fixed points (2)", Internet. J.Math & Math. Sci., 285-288,1988.
- [7]. Manish Jain and Sanjay Kumar, "A Common fixed point Theorem in Fuzzy metric Space Using the property (CLRg)", Thai Journal of Mathematics, Vol 12 (3) pp.591-600,2014.
- [8]. Mishra S N, Sharma N & Singh S L," Common fixed points of mappings on fuzzy metric spaces", Internet .J.Math &Math .Sci, 17, 253-258, 1994.
- [9]. Pant R P & Jha K, "A Remark on common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space", J.Fuzzy Math.12 (2), 433-437,2004.
- [10].Sharma S, "Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 127, 345-352,2002.
- [11].Sintunavarat.W, Kuman.P, "common fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in Fuzzy Metric Spaces", *Journal of applied mathematics*, Vol.2011,Article ID:637958,pp.1-14,2011.

- [12].Sisodia K S, Rathore M S, & Deepak Singh ," A common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces", *Int. Journal of Math.Analysis*, Vol.5, No.17, 819-826,2011.
- [13]. Srinivas V, B.V.B Reddy, Umamaheshwar Rao R, "A common fixed point theorem on fuzzy metric space", *Kathmandu university Journal of Science Engineering and Technology*, Vol.8, No 2, 77-82,2012.
- [14]. Zadeh L A,"Fuzzy Sets", Information and Control, 8, 338-353, 1965.